

CONFIDENTIAL REPORT TO THE EDITOR

AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL REVIEW

University of Pennsylvania, Department of Sociology
3718 Locust Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6209
Phone: (215) 898-6779. Fax: (215) 898-3371. E-mail: asrpenn@sas.upenn.edu

MS #:

Reviewer Name:

Title:

1. OVERALL RECOMMENDATION

Check ONE of the following six statements.

REJECT:

- Even with revision, unlikely to be publishable in any peer-reviewed journal.
- With revision, may be publishable, but not in ASR.

ACCEPT:

Needs only editorial or other minor changes . . .

- Publish this important contribution; it is likely to reorient thinking or be widely cited.
- A good contribution; should be published if space permits.

ASK AUTHOR TO REVISE AND RESUBMIT:

If the revised manuscript remedies the problems I identify in my review, it will be . . .

- An important contribution; likely to reorient thinking or be widely cited.
- A good contribution.
- ❖ Please indicate your estimate of the probability that the author(s) can successfully revise this manuscript to be suitable for publication in ASR:
- 10-30% 31-50% 51-75% 76-100%
- UNLIKELY LIKELY

2. OTHER REVIEWERS ON THIS TOPIC

Please suggest one or more reviewers for future manuscripts submitted to ASR on this topic:

Name	Affiliation	Area

3. STRENGTHS OF THE MANUSCRIPT

ASR papers should be excellent in one or more areas. The current version of this paper is excellent in (check all that apply):

- 3a. Development or testing of theory
- 3b. Importance of the question to many sociologists
- 3c. Relevance to policy
- 3d. Synthesis of literature
- 3e. Quality of data
- 3f. Quality of data analysis
- 3g. Soundness of reasoning
- 3h. Clarity of writing
- 3i. Innovativeness
- 3j. None, no area strong enough for ASR

4. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

4a. How would you rate this manuscript relative to others you have reviewed for major journals?

- In the top 10%
- In the top 25% (but not in the top 10%)
- Not in the top 25%

4b. Did you, personally, find this manuscript interesting?

- Yes No

4c. This manuscript speaks explicitly to the following:

- One specialty area within sociology
- More than one specialty area

❖ Which one(s)? _____

4d. If this manuscript now speaks to only one specialty area, can it be revised to speak to more than one area? Or if it now speaks to more than one area, can it be revised to do so more effectively?

- Yes No

If Yes, please elaborate in your Report to the Author(s).

5. CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS FOR THE EDITOR

Summarize the reasons for your recommendation. Continue on a separate sheet if necessary.